Process Energy Systems: Control, Economic, And Sustainability Objectives

> Thomas F. Edgar University of Texas-Austin

U.S.-China Workshop on Sustainable Manufacturing

Wuhan, China, March 16, 2014

Outline

- U.S. energy/environment overview
- Energy efficiency and power production alternatives
- Smart manufacturing to reduce energy usage
- Next generation power systems(smart grids)
- Thermal energy storage and process control

The U.S. Industrial Sector

- Industrial energy usage = 35 quads; (total = 100 quads)
- This sector accounts for about one-third of total U.S. GHG emissions
- By 2030, 16% growth in U.S. energy consumption, which will require additional 200 GW of electrical capacity (EIA)
- Energy efficiency goals of 25% reduction in energy use by 2030 (McKinsey and National Academies Press reports)

Perspective of this Paper

- Focuses on process operation and control (not design)
- Assumes use of existing infrastructure to maximize thermal efficiency
- Maximize efficiency ≡ minimize carbon footprint
- Most carbon dioxide currently comes from fossil fuel combustion
- Progress will require a systems approach

CO₂ **Policy Alternatives**

- Cap and Trade
 - Establishes firm limit on CO₂ emissions
 - Auctioning/trading of emissions permits
- Carbon Tax
 - Price Predictability
 - Favored by large chemical companies
 - Apply to all Carbon Sources
- Regulated CO₂
 - Recent EPA announcement on reporting requirements
 - Proposed coal plant regulations

Reducing Carbon Footprint in Process Plants

- Reduce energy requirements
 - Use less energy-intensive chemistry/unit operations
 - Increase heat integration/cogeneration
 - Change the process to alter thermal vs. electromechanical energy
- Reduce carbon emissions (no major process changes)

Power Generation Strategies to Manage Carbon Emissions

- Use higher efficiency power cycles (e.g., combined cycle, solid oxide fuel cells)
- Fuel swapping (natural gas for coal)
- Conversion to non-fossil sources (e.g., nuclear or renewables)
- Nuclear thermal process energy
- Capture/disposal of CO₂ emissions





Biomass Gasification for Power Production

- Carbon-neutral alternative to use of coal
- Insensitive to nature of biomass
- Technology well-established, including combined cycle
- Supply chain limitations, but useful where biomass can be easily collected or as a byproduct (but not a broad U.S. strategy)

IGCC PROCESS



FutureGen's Integrated Technologies



U.S. Energy Prices vs. Time



U.S. Production, Consumption, Net Imports



Energy Information Administration

U.S. Shale Gas Occurrence



Source: Energy Information Administration based on data from various published studies. Updated: March 10, 2010

Impact of Shale (Natural) Gas in the U.S.

- Increasing supplies of domestic natural gas (+20%), \$4/MSCF
- Increased usage in power generation(lower GHG)
- Makes U.S. industrial locations more globally competitive (feedstock, power)
- Changes regional industrial development options (e.g., NY-PA), subject to local environmental pressures

What is SMART Manufacturing?

The ability to take action, in real time, to OPTIMIZE your assets in the context of your business strategies and imperatives



The infusion of intelligence that transforms the way Industries conceptualize, design, and operate the manufacturing enterprise.

> https://smartmanufacturingcoalition.org http://smartmanufacturing.com

© SMLC, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

"Internet of Things" Deception

- Connect your smartphone to your digital scale
- Then you will lose weight
- You have to do something else?

21st Century Smart Manufacturing

- Integrates the intelligence of the 'customer' throughout the entire manufacturing supply chain
- Responds to the customer as a coordinated manufacturing enterprise
 Apply
- Responds to the public as a performance-oriented enterprise, minimizing energy and material usage and maximizing environmental sustainability, health and safety and economic competitiveness.

Dramatically intensified application of manufacturing intelligence using advanced data analytics, modeling and simulation to produce a fundamental transformation to transition/new product-based economics, flexible factories and demand-driven supply chain service enterprises

Model

Analyze

Data





© SMLC, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Sustainability Drivers

- Health and safety
- New material minimization
- Energy efficiency
- Environmental protection
- Waste minimization
- Water management
- Climate mitigation
- Biological feedstocks

Control of Energy Usage – Some Observations

- Most plants do not control energy on a unit operations basis. Rather they monitor total plant usage on a daily or even monthly accounting basis.
- Plants are designed for energy efficiency, but do not include manipulated variables as degrees of freedom to minimize energy utilization.
- Individual users of utilities (e.g., steam to individual reboilers or exchangers) are not recorded although valve positions for energy flows usually are known.
- Plants control for throughput or fitness for use attributes (purity, molecular weight, etc.), but adjust utilities to achieve these goals.

More Observations

- Most multivariable control algorithms (like MPC) do not assign an economic value to the manipulated variable moves, although some research efforts have been oriented towards "economic" MPC.
- Energy reuse adding heat and power integration will create unit and control loop interactions and new disturbance patterns, making control strategies more complex. Integer (on-off) variables for equipment such as chillers will need to be optimized.
- Swapping thermal and electrical forms of energy can have unexpected utilities systems impacts (dynamics and control).
- Attempting to control carbon emissions as well as energy usage will require new research investigations in PSE.

Increased Generation Efficiency

- Conventional efficiency: 40-55%
- Cogeneration efficiencies: 75-85%



Smart Power Grids

- Delivery of electric power using two-way digital technology and automation with a goal to save energy, reduce cost, and increase reliability.
- Power generated and distributed optimally for a wide range of conditions either centrally or at the customer site, with variable energy pricing based on time of day and power supply/demand.
- Increased use of intermittent renewable power sources such as solar or wind energy but increased need for energy storage.

Electricity Demand Varies throughout the Day



Average Real-Time Pricing Patterns for 2008* 12¢ 10¢ summer 8¢ 6¢ fall, winter, spring 4¢ 2¢ 2am 4am 6am 8am 10am 12pm 2pm 4pm 6pm 8pm 10pm

*Summer prices are for June - August. Depending on market conditions, prices can vary significantly from this typical pattern. Savings cannot be guaranteed.

Future Industrial Environment

- Stronger focus on energy use(corporate energy czars?)
- Increased energy efficiency and decreased carbon footprint
- Energy use measured and optimized for each unit operation
- Increased use of renewable energy(e.g., solar thermal and biomass) and energy storage
- Interface with smart grids and energy storage



"FIRST, THE GOOD NEWS: WE'VE SHUT DOWN THE COAL FIRED ELECTRIC POWER PLANT IN YOUR BACKYARD..."

Thermal Energy Storage

- Thermal energy storage (TES) systems heat or cool a storage medium and then use that hot or cold medium for heat transfer at a later point in time (steam, water, ice).
- Using thermal storage can reduce the size and initial cost of heating/cooling systems, lower energy costs, and reduce maintenance costs. If electricity costs more during the day than at night, thermal storage systems can reduce utility bills further.
- Incentive for thermal storage (NY Con Edison) for building or industrial users: \$2,600/KW vs. \$2,100/KW for battery storage

District Cooling

- Chilled water network
- Economy of scale
 - Centralized chillers
 - Thermal energy storage
- Opportunity for optimal chiller loading



Thermal Energy Storage Operating Strategy with Four Chillers



-Chillers 1& 4 are most efficient, 3 is least efficient

-Chiller 1 is variable frequency

(a) Experience-based (operator-initiated)

-No load forecasting

-Uses least efficient chiller (Chiller 3)

- (b) Load forecasting + optimization
 - -Uses most efficient chillers (avoids Chiller 3)
- (c) Load forecasting + TES + optimization

-Uses only two most efficient chillers





CHP Energy and CO₂ Savings Potential (10 MW)

	10 MW CHP	10 MW PV	10 MW Wind	Combined Cycle (10 MW Portion)
Annual Capacity Factor	85%	22%	34%	70%
Annual Electricity	74,446 MWh	19,272 MWh	29,784 MWh	61,320 MWh
Annual Energy Savings	308,100 MMBtu	196,462 MMBtu	303,623 MMBtu	154,649 MMBtu
Annual CO ₂ Savings	42,751 Tons	17,887 Tons	27,644 Tons	28,172 Tons
Annual NOx Savings	59.4 Tons	16.2 Tons	24.9 Tons	39.3 Tons



Energy flows in a combined heat and power system with thermal storage

TES with Concentrated Solar Power (CSP)



• CSP technologies concentrate sunlight to heat a fluid and run a generator

 By coupling CSP with TES, we can better control when the electricity is produced



Control Strategy



- Feedforward + Feedback (PID) temperature control
 - Uses FF measurements of solar irradiance
 - Flow rate of stream 1 is manipulated variable
- Feedback control (PID) used for steam flow (power) control
- Supplemental gas used when solar energy is not sufficient (stream 4)

Solar Energy and the Need for Storage



Time of Day

Results: Sunny Day, System without Storage (No Power Control)



Results: Sunny Day, System with Storage and Power Control



Summary of Results

	Sunny Day: System	Sunny Day: System with	Cloudy Day: System	Cloudy Day: System with
	without	Storage	without	Storage
	Storage		Storage	
Solar Energy	16.48	16.82	8.40	8.49
Delivered to Load				
Supplemental Fuel	12.58	7.18	15.78	15.51
Required (MWh)				
Solar Share	47.6%	70.1%	34.3%	35.4%

•Solar Share increased by 47% on sunny day, 3% on Cloudy day

•Power quality much better with storage

•Dynamic optimization with weather forecasts can further improve solar share

Minimization of the nonrenewable energy consumption in bioethanol production processes using a solar-assisted steam generation system



Figure 3. Pareto set of optimal solutions in the bioethanol production plant

AIChE Journal

Brunet, Robert, Gonzalo Guillén-Gosálbez, and Laureano Jiménez. "Minimization of the nonrenewable energy consumption in bioethanol production processes using a solar-assisted steam generation system." *AIChE Journal* 60.2 (2014): 500-506.

Item	Design A	Design B	Design C
Net Present Value (\$)	92,752,281	-328,817,003	75,610,887
Energy consumed (Btu/gal)	20,968	12,838	13,903
Total Capital Investment (\$)	37,159,397	316,441,020	44,862,192
Operating Cost (\$/yr)	63,021,995	79,893,062	62,606,124
Production Rate (kg/ yr)	119,171,463	119,171,463	119,171,463
Unit Production Cost (\$/kg)	0.67	1.12	0.68
Unit Selling Price (\$/kg)	0.69	0.69	0.69
Total revenues(\$)	81,826,000	81,826,000	81,826,000
Area solar panels (m ²)	0	5,430,794	71,053
Natural gas consumed (kg/yr)	22,066,980	10,570,180	12,102,040

Table 5. Economic and Energetic Summary of the Bioethanol Process

AIChE Journal

Brunet, Robert, Gonzalo Guillén-Gosálbez, and Laureano Jiménez. "Minimization of the nonrenewable energy consumption in bioethanol production processes using a solar-assisted steam generation system." *AIChE Journal* 60.2 (2014): 500-506.

Conclusions

- Many opportunities to improve energy efficiency in the process industries
- Energy efficiency \equiv sustainability (carbon footprint)
- Smart grids, cogeneration will change the power environment for manufacturing
- Energy storage plus PSE tools will be critical technologies to deal with this dynamic environment
- A focus on energy comparable to the current emphasis on safety would yield significant improvements in energy efficiency.